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1. Introduction

The use of resins, particularly styrene-maleic, dicyandiamide and acrylic resins, has been the subject of considerable research in wet blue retanning processes.

Clear improvements are obtained in leathers when using this retanning process and use of the process is widespread in the tanning industry.

Nevertheless, the addition of resins at this process stage causes several technical problems such as the difficulty of penetration of resins with high molecular weight, particularly in thick cattle hides, which are precisely those resins contributing most to filling.

It is logical to think that in chrome-tanning, the resin occupies a significant part of the interfibre spaces, with the resulting esteric hindrance for resins used in retanning. Nevertheless, the reactive collagen groups are also blocked, meaning that the resins used in retanning are fixed primarily with the chrome added in this process. This means that some difficulty is experienced in achieving deep, regular fixation (fibrils), as could be done if they are fixed on the leather prior to chrome-tanning.

These considerations led us to analyse six resins (four acrylics, one styrene-maleic and one dicyandiamide), studying both their behaviour in chrome-tanning baths and the properties they give the finished leathers.
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2. EXPERIMENTAL PART

2.1. Raw material

In the first part, cattle leather was used, pounded into 30x30 cm squares from leather pickled at pH = 3.0.

The following tests were carried out using whole hides from Spain having a weight of 15 kg/hide. The left sides were treated with the resin and the untreated right sides were used as the standard.

In the second part we used pickled English domestic sheepskins selected as being the “emptiest”. In this part we used six skins divided in half along the spine.

2.2. Products used

1. PRODUCT 1 – STYRENE MALEIC
2. PRODUCT 2 - ACRYLIC
3. PRODUCT 3 - ACRYLIC
4. PRODUCT 4 - ACRYLIC
5. PRODUCT 5 - DICYANDIAMIDE
6. PRODUCT 6 - ACRYLIC

2.3 Method

2.3.1 Cattle hide

The first series of tests was carried out on butt pieces using the following process:

Process 1

Weight on pickled leather + 50% = Pelt
weight = 412 g/piece

80% water at 30°C and 6°Be
(Place leather pieces in drum and adjust to pH = 3.0)
1.7% RESIN (active material)

Run for 60 minutes.
Check pH and Tc.
7.0% 33°Sch chrome salt
Run for 2 hours

0.8% BASIFYING AGENT

Run for 8 hours.

Check:
- Cr₂O₃ in leather and bath
- Precipitate in bath
- Final pH

The pieces of wet blue were shaved to 1.8 mm and then subjected to a neutralisation–dyeing–fatliquoring process without retanning in order to determine the properties provided to the wet blue by each resin.

**Weight of wet blue shaved to 1.8 mm**

**RINSING**
- 200% water at 30°C
- 0.2% oxalic acid
- 0.2% SOAKING AGENT

Run for 20 minutes.
Run off. Rinse for 10 minutes.

**NEUTRALISATION**

- 100% water at 35°C
- 2.0% sodium formate

Run for 10 minutes.

2.0% sodium bicarbonate

Run for 60 minutes.

pH = 5.5 ≠
Run off and rinse for 10 minutes.

**DYEING–FATLIQUORING**

- 50% water at 30°C
- 2.0% DISPERSING AGENT
Run for 10 minutes.

3.0% dyestuff
Run for 60 minutes.

Add:
- 100% water at 60°C
- 5.0% SULFOCHLORINATED PARAFFIN
- 5.0% SULPHATED OIL

Run for 60 minutes.
2.0% formic acid
Run for 45 minutes.
Run off. Rinse for 10 minutes.

**MECHANICAL OPERATIONS**

Sammy. Dry in a vacuum dryer for 1 minute at 70°C. Air-dry. Dampen and stake.

**Checks**

Colour (L*), softness (IUP-36), fullness, grain tightness.

All whole hides were treated using the same process.

**2.3.2 Sheepskins**

The six left sides were processed together in the same drum (using the process described below) without resin; the right sides were treated one by one using the respective resin.

**Process 2**

**Weight of pickled standard + 50% DEGREASING**

- 30% water at 35°C and 6°Be
- 6.0% DEGREASING AGENT
Run for 45 minutes.
Run off.

- 100% water at 35°C and 5°Be
- 2.0% ALDEHYDE
Run for 15 minutes.
Run for 10 minutes.

- 2.0% DEGREASING AGENT
Run for 15 minutes.

- 2.0% sodium formate
Run for 15 minutes.

- 2.0% sodium bicarbonate

Run for 30 minutes.
0.2% sodium carbonate
Run for 2 hours.

pH = 6.0 ≠
Run off.

- 150% water at 40°C
- 0.2% DEGREASING AGENT
Run for 15 minutes
Run off.

- 300% water at 40°C
Run for 15 minutes
Run off.

**TANNING**

- 80% water at 35°C and 5°Be
- 2.0% formic acid
Run for 60 minutes
pH = 3.1

**3% RESIN (active material)(right side)**

- 7.0% 33°Sch chrome salt
Run for 2 hours.

- 0.75% BASIFYING AGENT
Run for 8 hours.

Check:
- Cr₂O₃ in leather and bath
- Final pH
The leathers were shaved to 1.1 mm, neutralised, dyed and fatliquored.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A) Application to cattle hide pieces

3.1 Tanning capacity of resins

In Process no. 1, the contraction temperatures were checked and the respective bath pH values before adding the chrome.

Fig. 1 shows how the pH values increased from the original value of 3 to higher values (3.6) in all baths where resin was added, whereas the variable without resin held steady at pH = 3.18.

![Fig. 1. Tanning capacity of the various resins as a function of pH](image)

The shrinkage temperatures (Ts) showed no substantial change with respect to the reference standard (Tc = 57), meaning that none of the resins has the capacity to bond with the polypeptide chains and, therefore, the contraction temperature does not increase.

3.2 Influence of resin on chrome oxide exhaustion

All final pH values were adjusted to 3.8 in order to ensure that all tests were fully comparable.

Fig. 2 shows that maximum exhaustion was observed with PRODUCT 6 (2.7 g/l); all other resins showed little variation in chrome oxide values with respect to the reference standard (3.1 g/l).

![Fig. 2. Influence of resin on chrome oxide distribution](image)

In terms of fixed chrome oxide, PRODUCT 6 achieved greater fixation at 4% and PRODUCT 4 the least, at 3.5%. These differences are important in terms of bath exhaustion (ecological aspect), but not so important in terms of the amount of chrome fixed in the leather. We think that this difference is due to the kind of chrome fixation that takes place on the leather and gives it special organoleptic characteristics, as described in the following sections.

3.3 Influence of resin on precipitate formation with chrome salt. Collagen-chrome-resin reactions

By observing the residual tanning baths, we found that the products PRODUCT 2, PRODUCT 3 and PRODUCT 4 yielded an abundant precipitate with the chrome. These precipitates had a different appearance, depending on the type of resin. PRODUCT 5 gave a slight precipitate; PRODUCT 1 and PRODUCT 6 gave no precipitate.

In order to determine what happens and what reaction mechanism exists between the resin and the chrome, we carried out a blank titration (i.e., without leather) with each resin.

300 g of water at 30°C and 6°Be of salt were placed in a 1-litre beaker. The pH was adjusted to 3.0 with formic acid diluted 1:10 and 4 g of resin (active material), and 9 gr. of chrome salt, were added. The mixture was stirred for 10 minutes and allowed to stand for 2 hours.

An abundant precipitate of varying appearance was created in each of the six resins; this precipitate was then filtered and dried.

We analysed the chrome oxide content of precipitates carried out for the products PRODUCT 1 and PRODUCT 6 in order to determine the respective compositions. PRODUCT 1 precipitated 75% whereas PRODUCT 6 precipitated 80%.

The percentage composition of these precipitates is 85% resin and 15% chrome.
Oxide in the case of PRODUCT 1 and 80% resin and 20% chrome oxide in PRODUCT 6.

In the case of PRODUCT 1 and PRODUCT 6, there was no precipitate in the residual bath. Therefore, we logically think that they are fixed in the leather.

The fact that our PRODUCT 6 was 80% fixed is due to the special structure of the radicals (R) with respect to the esteric size and to the alternacy of their charges (negative, non-polar).

Our theory is that all the amine groups (NH$_3^+$) of the collagen are positively charged at pH = 3.0; the resin radicals having positive charges (R$_1$, R$_4$ and R$_5$) are fixed by salt bonds to the amine groups according to the following reaction:

Once the chrome is added, the system readjusts such that the Cr$_3^+$ complexes are coordinated with the R’ groups of the resin and the NH$_3^+$ groups of the collagen, a process that also produces reactions between the amine –Cr – Carboxylic groups of the collagen protein.

3.4 Influence of resin on colour
PRODUCT 1 lightens the colour substantially, since it has an L* = 50 versus 40 in the case of the reference.

Colour is also lightened in the case of Resins no. 2, 3, 5 and 6, but remains essentially unchanged by resin no. 4.

3.5 Influence of resin on softness (IUP-36)
Softness was measured using a ST-300 Softness Tester, calibrated using a 35-mm ring that gives values on a scale of 0-10 for footwear leathers.
The reference leather is soft but empty and with a loose grain. The leather treated with PRODUCT 1 is also soft and compact but with a loose grain. The leathers treated with PRODUCT 2, PRODUCT 3, PRODUCT 4 and PRODUCT 5 have a rigid ground and very poor breakage.

The leather treated with PRODUCT 6 is softer, fuller, more compact and with tighter grain.

**B) Application to whole hides**

3.6 A pickled, split cattle hide was divided in half along the backbone, then treated using Process no. 1 and PRODUCT 6 for comparison against the standard (without resin).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>STD.</th>
<th>PRODUCT 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH differential index</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃ bath (g/l)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leather Cr₂O₃ (%)</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compactness ++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 1 Properties of wet blue*

Table 1 lists the properties of the wet blue:

- In the PRODUCT 6 process, the pH differential index is practically half that of the standard.
- The chrome oxide in both the residual baths and the leather is better using PRODUCT 6 process.
- The leather compactness also improved in the PRODUCT 6 process; this increase in compactness was numerically verified using the sammying wet blue by measuring the thickness as shown in Fig. 7.

This figure shows a 7% increase in thickness in the butt area closest to the spine, which is the most compact part of the leather, as well as a 10.4% increase in the middle of the hide and a 12.4% increase in the belly, which are the weakest areas. In other words, there is deep filling in the leather structure, an effect that is more evident in areas having less compact fibres, where the protein-chrome-resin complex performs what is known as “selective filling”.

![Fig. 7 Thickness variation in hide treated with PRODUCT 6 versus standard](image)

**INCREASE IN THICKNESS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BUTT</th>
<th>MIDDLE</th>
<th>BELLY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**3.7 Physical, chemical and organoleptic properties**

We suppose the following properties for the two dyed, fatliquored, dried and machined hides:

- Colour (L*)
- Softness (BI)
- Organoleptic characteristics (OR)
- Tensile strength (RT)
- Tear resistance (RD)
- Compressibility (C)
- Heat resistance (r)
- Heat penetrability (p)
- Surface variation (S)

Fig. 8 shows the variation in % of the nine properties mentioned above for the resin-treated hide versus the untreated hide.

![Fig. 8 Variation (%) in properties of leather treated with PRODUCT 6](image)
Colour (L*): Twenty measurements were made on each half, with the mean value showing that the resin lightened the colour by 10%. There is greater colour uniformity, however, with the variation between the different areas of the leather being 3 units for the standard hide, and 2.2 for the treated hide.

Softness (Bl): Softness improved 8%, meaning that the leather has more spring due to being less compact. Moreover, the 30 readings show the variation in the reference hide to be 1 unit (softness tester) and 0.6 in the resin-treated hide.

Organoleptic characteristics (OR), such as overall feel, fill, etc. improved 20%.

Tensile strength (RT) improved by 8%.

Tear resistance (RD) improved by 12%, with the differences between the parallel, perpendicular and oblique samples being much smaller in the treated hide than the standard hide. This effect was also observed in the case of tensile strength and is due to an evening out of the internal stress lines of the leather resulting from the combined chrome-resin tanning effect.

Compressibility (C) is related to “leather rigidness”; in the case of resin-treated leather, compressibility decreased 2%.

Heat resistance (r) is a measurement of the cold-heat insulating capacity of the leather; in the case of the resin-treated leather, this property decreased slightly by 3%.

Heat penetrability (p) is related to the sensation of cold-heat on the surface of the leather; i.e., how the heat resistance conveys information concerning the insulation throughout the thickness of the leather. The penetrability provides information on the capacity of the leather surface to change temperature when the room temperature changes. Resin-treated leather increased 17%, a favourable phenomenon which means that the leather surface holds its temperature longer.

Surface variation (S): assessed by means of a conversion factor obtained by measuring the pickled hides and the respective finished hides. This factor exhibited a 0.02% variation in the resin-treated leather versus the untreated leather, meaning that there is no significant variation in surface.

C) Use with sheepskins
The use of process no. 2 obtain the following results:

3.8 The quantities of chrome oxide in the leather and the bath were similar in proportion to those indicated in Section 3.2 for cattle hide.

3.9 Organoleptic and colour evaluation
The colour and organoleptic properties are shown in Fig. 9.

Fig. 9 Influence of resins on colour, softness and compactness

Softness was increased 10% by resin no. 4; 6% by resin no. 5 and 10% by resin no. 6.

Colour was reduced to a greater or lesser degree by all resins with respect to the standard.

Compactness (organoleptic assessment) improved slightly in the case of all resins, with no. 6 being the highest at 10%.

3.10 Variation in physical properties
Tensile Strength and Tear Resistance as well as Compressibility are shown in Fig. 10.
Applications to different kinds of leathers
Experiments were carried out with cattle leather and sheepskins from various origins using processes no. 1 and 2 to evaluate the results and obtain more information on their application.

Table 2 summarises the particular characteristics of Processes no. 1 (cattle leather) and no. 2 (lamb skins), as well as double face, when using resin no. 6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE OF LEATHER</th>
<th>PRODUCT 6 (%)</th>
<th>PARTICULAR CHARACTERISTICS OF PROCESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Split Spanish cattle hide (15 kg/hide)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Greater compactness, more even dyeing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsplit Russian cattle hide (18 kg/hide)</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Run for 3 hours before adding chrome to obtain good penetration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split dry South African cowhide (12 kg/hide)</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>Firm grain, in addition to aforesaid characteristics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English domestic lambskin (1.3 kg/skin)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>All characteristics improved in articles for nappa, suede and nubuck,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. face Spanish Merino lambskin (1.1 kg/skin)</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>Compactness and fullness, maintaining lightness</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table no. 2

4. CONCLUSIONS
4.1. The PRODUCT 1 and PRODUCT 6 are those exhibiting the best behaviour in chrome-tanning.
4.2. No resin exhibited any tanning effect on the tanning pH.
4.3. PRODUCT 6 increased the chrome bath exhaustion, improving the feel and thickness (compactness) and increasing tensile strength and tear resistance.
5. The products used in this study were manufactured by CROMOGENIA UNITS, S.A.

1. RETANAL RST
2. RETANAL RCN-30
3. RETANAL RCN-40
4. RETANAL RC-200
5. RETANAL D-57
6. RETANAL CLE